



MINUTES

SPECIAL MEETING OF ELECTORS

HELD FORESHORE PARK AMPHITHEATRE

BROCKMAN STREET, NANNUP

THURSDAY 31 MARCH 2022 AT 5.30PM.

Contents

1. DECLARATION OF OPENING.....	2
2. WELCOME/INTRODUCTION/ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF COUNTRY:.....	2
3. APOLOGIES:.....	2
4. BUSINESS TO BE DISCUSSED:	2
5. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS:.....	4
6. CONSIDERATION OF MOTIONS:	11
6.1 MOTION - COVID-19 Vaccination Pro Informed Choice Statement Part 1 - Submitted by Bronwyn Winfield	11
6.2 MOTION - COVID-19 Vaccination Pro Informed Choice Statement Part 2 - Submitted by Bronwyn Winfield	12
6.3 MOTION - COVID-19 Vaccination Pro Informed Choice Statement Part 3 - Submitted by Bronwyn Winfield	12
6.4 MOTION - COVID-19 Vaccination Pro Informed Choice Statement Part 4 - Submitted by Bronwyn Winfield	13
6.5 MOTION - Submitted by Steve and Catherine Fraser	13
6.6 MOTION - Submitted by Bronwyn Winfield	14
6.7 MOTION - Submitted by Martin and Ellie McKie	14
7. CLOSURE OF MEETING:.....	15

1. DECLARATION OF OPENING

The Shire President declared the meeting open at 5:30pm.

2. WELCOME/INTRODUCTION/ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF COUNTRY:

The Shire President welcomed all electors of Nannup attending this meeting.

The Shire President acknowledged the traditional custodians of the land, the Wardandi and Bibbulmun people; paying respects to Elders past, present and emerging.

3. ATTENDANCE / APOLOGIES:

Apologies

Councillor Vicki Hansen

Councillor and Staff Attendance

Shire President Tony Dean	Cr Cheryle Brown	CEO David Taylor
Cr Robert Longmore	Cr Patricia Fraser	Kellie Jenkins
Cr Chris Buckland	Cr Ian Gibb	Rosalyn Edwards
	Cr Charles Gilbert	Annabel Crutchlow

Public Attendance

Darren Sebo	Maree Tinker	RB Thomas
Rob Taylor	Manu Mason	Keith Harroway
Tony Rodda	Shae Cullen	John Thompson
Maggie Longmore	Steve Winfield	Kaye Locke
Josephine Douglas	Robyn Buckley	Max Arvidson
Rod Archdall	Warren Smith	Janet Gray
Steve Fraser	Yvonne Smith	Dave Siroen
Caryl Harraway	Dian Ranger	Carolyn Sim
Elizabeth Jones	Peter Dickens	Steve Goatcher
Robert Lee	Brownie Dickens	Sam Morrison
Susan Roche	Elanor McKie	Witasik Justyne
Beth Crouch	Martin McKie	Anne Blackburne-Kane
Lyndon Crouch	Toni Otte	Lindesay Blackburne-Kane
Ashby Pither	Keith Pears	Tanya Coffey
Caitlyn Pither	LA Wickison	Russel Baldock

Helen Baldock	Rick Bruce	Alana Richards
Di Piper	Stewart Seesink	Brendan Murray
Mike Piper	Denise Green	Mick Brown
Nancy Tang	Peter Hansen	Sarah
Pete Thompson	Carole Patch	Anneli Salo
PJ	Diana Linden	Hayley Harris
Brenda Pringle	Richard Linden	Libby Partridge
Kevin Pringle	Kim Heitman	Matt Newton
Chris Merritt	Mike Vasey	Steve
Eileen Podziuka	Gayle Vasey	Eamonn Cullen
Scott and Helen	Kevin Griffiths	Angela Wilde
Nicky Rodda	B Happ	Landon Wilde
Murray Robinson	Kate Happ	Bronwyn Winfield
Frank Colreavy	Geoff Allam	Jean Ross
Jill Colreavy	Dawn Steel	Steve Giovinazzo
Graeme & Walter	Steve Beatty	Ed Stratford
Steve Johnstone	Heather Roach	Noellene Williams
Lisa-Maree Tiller	Carole Jackson	Nicole Blackburn
Jordan Steinki	Gayle Tindal	Jamie Moyle
Ross Tyre	Julie Mapson	Kevin G
Ryan Stratford	Sandra Bruce	Clint Connor
S & Y Chalk	John Doggett	Joseph Cullen
Peter Harker	Jo Doggett	Cathie Fraser
Chris Edwards	Sue Smith	Geoff Kemp
Ash Burn	Amy Mason	Judy Kemp
Donna Bindon	Lynda Harrington	Gordon McDonald
Toby Bindon	N Trigg	Charlie Ferguson
Julie Johnson	Craig Rae	Helen Ferguson
Heather McQueen	Sandra McPherson	Isabell Green
D Germann	Margaret Rutter	Jim Green
Carolyn McGinty	J Thompson	John McGinty
Joan Smith	N Edden	D Collins

T Illy	Betty White	Linda Boyd
P Ramsell	Ciaran Shields	Susan Claire Donovan
Jon Van der Laan	Steve Blythe	Nerolie Lyle
Carol Pinkerton	Peter Blythe	Pat Twiss
Catherine Morrison	Lily Isaacson	Sam Atkinson
Gloria Millward	Nick T	Lauren Clegg
Eileen West	Kushdev Singh	John Partridge
D West	John McPherson	Justin Harris
J Cleverly	Joan	Jane Wilde
S Griffiths	Jenny	Laura Achterberg
John Lyon	Robin Edden	Alex Ross
Marjorie Lyon	Greg Frey	Glenn Marks
Denise Davies	Letisia Murray	Adam Macrae
Brian Gatfield	Kay Frey	Sherry Patterson
Lois Gatfield	Ryan Stratford	Jason Smith

4. BUSINESS TO BE DISCUSSED:

The Shire has received a petition signed by more than 5% of the electors of the Shire of Nannup -

“To discuss community concerns in relation to the vaccination mandates and request that a vote be taken at the meeting to oppose vaccination mandates and declare the Shire of Nannup a Pro Choice community. The request also asks that the Shire of Nannup to advise the Premier and State Parliament of the community concerns in relation to existing mandates; and request that they refrain from imposing further mandates as well as removing all existing mandates currently in place.”

Please note there are motions from electors listed in Part 6 of this agenda.

5. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS:

Questions submitted by Brownyn Winfield before the meeting commenced, these questions were taken on notice and responses provided below. Please note these questions have been written verbatim.

Question 1

It is actually unlawful to discriminate against someone who is not wearing a mask and refuses to supply their personal information if you are allowing other members of the public to enter your premises (The Charter of Human Rights and Responsibilities Act – Part 2 Section 8).

Under this Act, we are all equal before the law without discrimination and have the right to protection against discrimination. Will you learn and obey this law? Will you encourage Nannup businesses to learn and obey the law too because the sign outside the pub indicates that they are ignorant of the law.

I have heard tourists from Dunsborough and elsewhere describing us as “Nazi Nannup” after visiting our town. Decades of work has built our reputation in tourism and income from it. Would you please hold an information session for staff, businesses and the public on masking and the law asap in order to restore our reputation for being a friendly town?

Response 1

The Shire of Nannup is following the directions of the WA State Government as required under the State of Emergency provisions, as are local businesses. Organisations breaching these directions could face penalties of \$250,000.

Question 2

The Nannup Shire Council unanimously decided at their October 28th 2021 meeting to "whole heartedly encourage " all eligible people in our community to get and remain fully vaccinated, when the risks of this jab far exceed the risk of the Kovid disease itself according to numerous studies (and which I have since supplied to Councillor Buckland). Would you be willing to revisit your October 28th resolution in the light of data which has since emerged showing great harm to youth in particular from the kovid vaxines while no youth are at no risk from Kovid itself?

If no, it is not wise to blindly trust governments and the scientists they appoint, when history abounds with examples of govts harming their people eg: 8 million Russian peasants were starved to death by their own government and greater numbers starved by MaoTse Tung in China, while Australian eggs include: thalidomide babies, Asbestosis and the genocide of Tasmanian Aboriginals. It is your obligation to not just go along with the government but to independently research and make conscious decisions when the stakes are so high. Have you done so, and if no, will you do so?

Response 2

Any revocation or changing of a decision will need to be submitted by Councillors in alignment with the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996*, Regulation 10.

Question 3

Are you aware of the effects of the unscientific, nonsensical, unlawful and ever changing mandates on our community, particularly the youth and the elders of our town and have you perused data related to job loss, business closures, isolation, depression, anxiety, and suicide due Government pandemic measures, including mandates, contact- tracing, quarantining, and experimental jabs before openly endorsing them in Nannup? Are you aware that “just following orders” was not a phrase that excused any officials who abused people under the Nazi regime, and that (at the Nuremberg trials) some were tried and hung for what they did because under the law we are all personally responsible for our actions?

Response 3

Organisations breaching the directions of the WA State Government as required under the State of Emergency provisions could face penalties of \$250,000.

Question 4

At 4.30 pm on a Friday, an announcement was made by the Shire that a vaccine passport would be required to enter most public buildings in Nannup. Most did not follow suit till later. That ruling was not amended to remove the Rec. center from your list (to reflect the fact that the State had exempted sporting facilities) for the following 3 days, even after I alerted you that it should be exempt on the Monday morning. So you rushed to put it up, and did not rush to take it down when proven to be in error. Could you have immediately removed that building whilst making enquires instead of leaving it up for another 3 days? This decree may have influenced young basketball players to have the jab in order to continue playing basketball. In view of the fact that many child deaths and injuries are associated now with the experimental kovid jabs (87% of 12 to 17 year old jab recipients have been hospitalized in the U.S.) How will you feel should a Nannup child die or become vaccine injured due the requirement you left up there for several days?

Response 4

This question has been answered in detail previously. The State Government directions were published on the Friday whereby it required proof of vaccination for recreation facilities regardless of the type of use. Over that same weekend the State Government amended the directions to exclude community sport from the proof of vaccination requirements; the Shire updated its Friday publication with the amendments as required. As stipulated previously, the proof of vaccination requirement applies to the recreation facility for all purposes other than community sporting activities.

Question 5

Central to the pandemic has been the silencing of voices who opposed the narrative. I rang the Shire Office, and emailed too, on quite a few occasions, expressing my desire to run for the North Ward seat on the council which had become vacant. I was promised verbally and in writing that I would be notified as soon as nominations opened. Would the council please explain why they did not let me know nominations had opened?

Response 5

Nominations were advertised per the requirements which included the West Australian newspaper, The Nannup Telegraph newspaper, Shire website and noticeboards. It is the responsibility of interested candidates to check these sources of information and remain up to date.

Question 6

A certain Professor condemned my November letter to the Telegraph in the following issue, and made the following baseless, fearmongering statements:

* "The facts are clear: Kovid Vaccinations are safe and one is 10 X more likely to die of kovid if unvaccinated".

* "...the assertions made by the individuals referred to by Bee are "completely false".

* "Delta strain will be "a threat to life" once borders are open. "

His now proven false statements went unchallenged as my right of reply was removed by the Editor of the Telegraph who published a ban the subject of Kovid concurrent with the Professor's letter.

As it turns out, The NSW Health department has now provided figures which show rather than the assertions of my world class expert's being "completely false" they were completely

accurate predictions. We have a pandemic of the doubly vaxxinated with many of them in hospital, just as my world class my experts forecast. In light of the will you reconsider your decision and allow the free flow of public discourse?

Response 6

Any revocation or changing of a decision will need to be submitted by Councillors in alignment with the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996*, Regulation 10.

Question 7

Unfounded fear of kovid has been continuously promoted in the Telegraph with little reminders dotted throughout most issues up to the present. Councillor Brown, in your role as Editor, do you acknowledge that by refusing to publish the letters of informed readers, you took away the only practical avenue by which they could share safe treatments and warnings on vaxine injuries and deaths, and is censorship in the best interests of the readership?

Response 7

The management of the local newspaper does not fall under the remit of the Shire.

Question 8

The previous Nannup Community Noticeboard Face Book page administrator frequently shut down conversations and removed posts and comments, not because they go against the agreed rules, but because she does not agree with the views expressed. This abuse of power saw an unknown number of community members banned permanently from the page for no valid reason. Can Nannup shire facilitate a democratic process by which people can nominate for the position and be elected to the role by a democratic process?

Response 8

The management of the community social media pages does not fall under the remit of the Shire.

Question 9

Did you know that Kovid is an easily treated disease but that the safe and effective treatments treatments like Iver mektin have been buried under the guise that "more randomised controlled trials were needed ", when this drug, (described by leading doctors such as Pierre Corey as a miracle cure against kovid) has been on the W.H.O. list of essential medicines for decades?

Response 9

This question should be referred by yourself to the State Government for the required medical professional response.

Question 10

As some may remember proudly that we fought Genetically Engineered crops in this town and had Nannup declared a "GM free Zone", because citizens became awake to the plans of the 5 corporations wanting to control food and health on our planet. Back then we battled the ignorance of a few Councillors influenced by the wild claims of Bayer Crop Science. Today we battle misinformation paid for by the likes BAYER HEALTH. Back in the 1930s Bayer built a factory next door to the infamous Auschwitz concentration camp using starved slave prisoners from the camp.... before sending them up the chimneys. This is the kind of evil behind the

vaxines. In 10 years' time when we look back, would you like to be remembered as the council which fought segregation and discrimination, or the council which supported it?

Response 10

The Shire of Nannup is following the directions of the WA State Government as required under the State of Emergency provisions, as are the local businesses. Organisations breaching these directions could face penalties of \$250,000.

Question 11

Are you aware how low morale is within the Emergency Services to our town seeing as membership the SES, the fire brigades, St Johns Ambulance drivers has plummeted since the mandates were introduced for volunteers?

Response 11

It has been a challenging time in this area, however as far as the Shire is aware there is still sufficient volunteer turnout when called upon.

Question 12

I've shared with you numerous studies showing masks are completely ineffective at stopping the spread of kovid, and there are published papers on the many dangers of wearing masks. Will the shire therefore stop endorsing mask wearing?

Response 12

The Shire of Nannup is following the directions of the WA State Government as required under the State of Emergency provisions, as are the local businesses. Organisations breaching these directions could face penalties of \$250,000.

Question 13

Cr Buckland on October 28th 2021, at council meeting, you dismissed as "misinformation" my face book statement that "the kovid injections are more dangerous than the disease". In light of the now overwhelming scientific evidence in support of that statement, some of which I emailed to you recently with citations, would you kindly now apologise to me and for leading the council astray?

Response 13

This statement reflects that there is a difference of opinion by the person named and person asking the question.

Question 14

Further to that, Mr Buckland stated in the October 28th council meeting that my face book page is full of misinformation and 'it follows therefore that the Town Hall meeting will be promoting misinformation'. I invited all councillors President and CEO to that meeting.

I had a kind email from Cr Hanson excusing herself, but, in view of the seriousness of the situation we now face, with several Nannup folk having no doubt succumbed or suffering due to the jab, why did not ONE Shire person come along to hear the Internationally reknown experts in Public Health, medical science, and constitutional law we had presenting to us that day? Would anyone care to explain why they didn't take up this opportunity to engage with experts and the large number of constituents please?

Mark McGowan had a few weeks earlier issued his vaccine mandate for 75% of the workforce. Why did Cr Buckland and all stay away from this meeting? Did his unsubstantiated conjecture that it would be “misinformation” influence other councillors?

I have emailed you high quality information with citations from published and peer reviewed studies on the matters we are discussing this evening. Have any of you even acknowledged receiving this material?

If not, why not?

Do you read it? If NO, why the reckless indifference?

Response 13

This statement reflects that there is a difference of opinion between people, there is no obligation on any person to attend these meetings.

Question 14

“The Shire of Nannup Council at its Ordinary Meeting held on Thursday 28th October 2021 voted unanimously for the Shire of Nannup to endorse a formal position on COVID-19 vaccinations within our community.

Council unanimously endorsed to take a stance in alignment with the Government of Western Australia’s Public Health advice, which includes:

1. The COVID-19 vaccine is an important measure to keep Western Australia safe and healthy, and will help protect ourselves; our families and our community.
2. It is recommended all eligible Western Australians get the free COVID-19 vaccine.
3. The Shire of Nannup is aware that our demographic is in the majority 50 years old and over – the demographic most at risk from becoming severely ill and dying from the COVID-19 infection. Therefore, we wholeheartedly encourage every eligible resident to become and remain vaccinated as soon as possible.”

You have a legal duty of care to acknowledge the lack of long-term safety data and/or fertility data and/or health risks including disability and death associated with these medical interventions, which you have recommended. Will you do so soon?

Response 14

Your statement is contrary to official Government health advice which is what people are being encouraged to refer to relating to COVID-19. People are encouraged to refer to the official government websites and hotlines for advice relating to COVID-19.

Question 15

The citizens of Nannup are in general intelligent people who are able to tell the difference between “tobacco science” and independent science. In view of a statement made by Pfizer “Pfizer has also taken a multiple actions to help alleviate the large increase of adverse event reports.” and the encouragement you gave to be vaccinated if it was actually bad advice and community members died or were rendered disabled from heeding it, how will you feel?

<https://phmpt.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/5.3.6-postmarketing-experience.pdf>

Could the Shire host an information night where videos can be played showing scientific evidence? (eg 84% increase in deaths of Millennials (25-44 years) than normal)

Response 15

Your statement is contrary to official Government health advice which is what people are being encouraged to refer to relating to COVID-19. People are encouraged to refer to the official government websites and hotlines for advice relating to COVID-19.

Question 16

President Dean, why was Nannup Council the first to exclude unvaccinated community members from nearly all council run buildings? It seems you acted in indecent haste to segregate out the control group (as we like to call ourselves), even before the State's mandates were made clear? Do you realise how many of us were shocked and saddened, by this move?

Response 16

The Shire of Nannup is following the directions of the WA State Government as required under the State of Emergency provisions. Organisations breaching these directions could face penalties of \$250,000.

Question 17

Do you know The TGA *Database of Adverse Event Notifications (DAEN)* lists a case where a death has been reported on the 20th October 2021, as having been suspected of being caused by the Moderna (Spikevax) covid vaccine, of a 14 year-old girl (Case no.647663)?

Did you also know that The U.S. Government's FDA does not allow Moderna's vaccine for teenagers?

Did you know that apart from the death of this 14 year-old girl, there have been 626 other adverse events already reported (from the 1st Oct 2021 – 22nd Oct 2021) suspected to be caused by the Moderna vaccine?

Do you have any concerns that TGA's conduct of rejecting and acting contrary to the advice of the American medical regulators on Moderna (especially when Moderna is manufactured in the USA) and continuing to allow the injections of Moderna into Australian teenagers?

Do you have any concerns that the ratepayers may have to fund legal cases against the Shire of Nannup should bereaved family be angry that their loved one was wholehearted encouraged to take the vax by the Nannup council?

Response 17

Your statement is contrary to official Government health advice which is what people are being encouraged to refer to relating to COVID-19. People are encouraged to refer to the official government websites and hotlines for advice relating to COVID-19. People concerned with vaccine safety need to direct their concerns to the State Government.

Question 18

Council you have a duty of care, as well as a lawful, moral and ethical duty in your public and private capacity and in your current position and as kind of authority to ascertain whether the Non-Pharmaceutical Interventions - such as social distancing, isolation, quarantining and "locking down" of living men women and children - , and the Pharmaceutical Interventions - such as the use of face masks, KOVID-19 testing, hand sanitising and the use of the experimental so-called "KOVID-19 vaccines" - are causing more harm than good to the individuals concerned.

Can we expect you to act accordingly for the benefit of Nannup people, not withstanding what others direct, from this day forward?

Response 18

The Shire of Nannup is following the directions of the WA State Government as required under the State of Emergency provisions. Organisations breaching these directions could face penalties of \$250,000. Your statement is contrary to official Government health advice which is what people are being encouraged to refer to relating to COVID-19. People are encouraged to refer to the official government websites and hotlines for advice relating to COVID-19, as does the Shire of Nannup. People concerned with vaccine safety need to direct their concerns to the State Government.

6. CONSIDERATION OF MOTIONS:

6.1 MOTION - COVID-19 Vaccination Pro Informed Choice Statement Part 1 - Submitted by Bronwyn Winfield

We request that the Council adopt an advocacy position statement called the 'COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement' with the content:

1. That no person shall or should be:
 - a) prevented from performing work or receiving income on the basis of vaccination status;
 - b) discriminated against on the basis of vaccination status;
 - c) coerced or manipulated into the need for vaccination for any reason;
 - d) deprived of any Statutory and Regulatory benefits on the basis of vaccination status;
 - e) restricted access to premises on the basis of vaccination status;
 - f) restricted in any form of community participation on the basis of vaccination status;
 - g) required to provide evidence for any reason of vaccination status; and / or,
 - h) subject to anything under written law that a person who differs in vaccination status is not.

Moved: Rod Archdall

Seconded: Gordon McDonald

Mover Speak: Rod Archdall

Seconder Speak: Gordon McDonald

Speaker 3 Support: Tanya Coffey

Speaker 4 Support: Susan Claire Donovan

Speaker Against: NIL

MOTION DEFEATED ON MAJORITY

6.2 MOTION - COVID-19 Vaccination Pro Informed Choice Statement Part 2 - Submitted by Bronwyn Winfield

We request that the Council adopt an advocacy position statement called the 'COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement' with the content:

2. That the Local Government shall:

- a) not restrict any function, property, or service of the Local Government based on vaccination status; and,
- b) as far as practicable redeploy or reinstate employees of the Local Government that risk termination or have been terminated on the basis of vaccination status;
- c) honour all obligations under contract for all persons adversely impacted as a consequence of inferred mandatory requirement for vaccination; and,
- d) establish means to compensate Local Government employees that have lost income as a consequence of termination on the basis of vaccination status.

Moved: Nerolie Lyle

Seconded: Elanor McKie

Mover Speak: Nerolie Lyle

Seconder Speak: Elanor McKie

Speaker 3 Support: Rod Archdall

Speaker 4 Support: Linda Boyd

Speaker Against: NIL

MOTION DEFEATED ON MAJORITY

6.3 MOTION - COVID-19 Vaccination Pro Informed Choice Statement Part 3 - Submitted by Bronwyn Winfield

We request that the Council adopt an advocacy position statement called the 'COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement' with the content:

3. That the Local Government actively advocate for:

- a) the removal the COVID-19 mandatory vaccination policy and any associated directions made under the Public Health Act 2016 (WA); and
- b) the repeal of any mandate or written law, or, anything done under any mandate or written law that conflicts with this position statement.

Moved: Tony Rodda Seconded: Tanya Coffey

Mover Speaker: Tony Rodda
Seconder Speaker: Tanya Coffey
Speaker 3 Support: Brenda Pringle
Speaker 4 Support: Ed Stratford
Speakers Against: Gloria Millward

MOTION DEFEATED ON MAJORITY

6.4 MOTION - COVID-19 Vaccination Pro Informed Choice Statement Part 4 - Submitted by Bronwyn Winfield

We request that the Council adopt an advocacy position statement called the 'COVID-19 Vaccination Pro-Choice Statement' with the content:

4. The Local Government in performing an advocacy role will seek the cooperation of:
- a) Other Local Governments across the State;
 - b) Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA);
 - c) Local Government Professionals WA; and,
 - d) Other professional bodies, associations, and business entities.

Moved: Rod Archdall Seconded: Alana Richards

Mover Speaker: Rod Archdall
Seconder Speaker: Alana Richards
Speaker 3 Support: Bronwyn Winfield
Speaker 4 Support: Gordon McDonald
Speaker Against: NIL

6.5 MOTION - Submitted by Steve and Catherine Fraser

Shire of Nannup continues to adhere to the Western Australian State Government's COVID-19 vaccination mandates.

Moved: Steve Fraser Seconded: Carolyn McGinty

Mover Speaker: Steve Fraser
Seconder Speaker: Carolyn McGinty
Speaker 3 Support: Frank Colreavy
Speaker 1 Against: Tony Rodda
Speaker 2 Against: Ryan Stratford
Speaker 3 Against: Elanor Mckie

MOTION PASSED BY MAJORITY

6.6 MOTION - Submitted by Bronwyn Winfield

That Council respectfully requests the Minister for Health to revoke the public health state of emergency declaration as outlined in Public Health Act 2016 Section 171 as this is the legal basis of the mandatory vaccination policy.

Moved: Rod Archdall Seconded: Bronwyn Winfield

Mover Speaker: Rod Archdall
Seconder Speaker: Bronwyn Winfield
Speaker 3 Support: Gordon McDonald
Speaker 4 Support: Ryan Stratford
Speaker Against: NIL

MOTION DEFEATED BY MAJORITY

6.7 MOTION - Submitted by Martin and Ellie McKie

That Council respectfully requests the Minister for Emergency Services, the Minister for Health, the Minister for Police, the Police Commissioner, the Chief Health Officer and Premier to provide the adequate scientific, medical and legal evidence for the justification for our state of emergency as this is the legal basis of the mandatory vaccination policy.

Moved: Elanor McKie Seconded: Tanya Coffey

Mover Speaker: Elanor McKie
Seconder Speaker: Tanya Coffey

Speaker 3 Support: Rod Archdall

Speaker 4 Support: Bronwyn Winfield

Speaker Against: NIL

MOTION DEFEATED BY MAJORITY

7. CLOSURE OF MEETING:

Meeting was declared closed at 7:20pm